



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	10.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/046,954		01/14/2002	Hougong Wang	gong Wang AMAT/5908/CPI/AL/ 4418 WIRE/PJ EXAMINER	
32588	7590	12/14/2004			
		ERIALS, INC.	BAHTA, KIDEST		
2881 SCOTT BLVD. M/S 2061 SANTA CLARA, CA 95050				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	- ,			2125	
				DATE MAILED: 12/14/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•	Office Action Summany	10/046,954	WANG ET AL.	WANG ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
<u></u>		Kidest Bahta	2125					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sheet v	ith the correspondence address	••				
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT mail may be available under the provisions of 37 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO is statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed inty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.						
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-61</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
· —	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-61</u> is/are rejected.							
· —	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)[10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
441	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	ne Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152	2.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International B	•						
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies no	received.					
Attachmen	t(s)							
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	8) Paper No	(s)/Mail Date					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 55, 57-58, 60-61 and 63-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by White et al. (U.S. Patent 6,286,230).

Regarding claims 55 and 61, White discloses a first and second chamber (elements 10A and 10B), the first and second chamber each having one or more processing chambers attached thereto (Fig. 1), a load lock (elements 6 and 8), a heating element and attached to the first chamber (elements 92 and 94) and two or more transition chambers which separate the first and second chamber (elements 28, 30, 32 and 34), the transition chamber each comprising a heating element disposed therein (Fig. 4, step 106).

Regarding claims 57-58, 60 and 63-64, White discloses the transition chamber each comprises two wafer holders (20a and 20B) and cooling plate (elements 96 and

Application/Control Number: 10/046,954 Page 3

Art Unit: 2125

98). Regarding claims 56, 59 and 62, White discloses the transition chamber heating element comprises a lamp and resistive heater (column 5, lines 5-12).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-54, 56, 59, 62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over White et al. (U. S. Patent 6,286,230) in view of Stevens et al. (U.S. Patent 6,375,746).

Regarding claims 1 and 28, White discloses processing a wafer comprising: introducing a wafer into a first load lock (Fig. 4, step 100); transfer the wafer into a first transition chamber (Fig. 4, step 106).

White fails to specifically disclose partially preheating the wafer in the load lock and transition chamber.

However, Stevens discloses that partially preheating the wafer in the load lock and transition chamber (abstract).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to modify the teachings of White with the teachings of Stevens in Art Unit: 2125

order to help maximize throughput, increase efficiency, and reduce the likehood of cross-contamination between chambers.

Regarding claims 2, 5-6, 29 and 32-33, White discloses transferring the wafer into a processing chamber (Fig. 4, step 104); and performing a processing step on the wafer in the processing chamber (Fig. 4, step 108); and transferring the wafer into a second load lock (Fig. 4, step 112), wherein the first load lock is either the same or a different load lock than the first load lock (elements 6 and 8).

Regarding claims 3-4, 7, 9-10, 14, 30-31, 34 and 36- 37, 41 White discloses the wafer into a second transition chamber that is either the same transition chamber or a different transition chamber than the first transition chamber and cooling the wafer in the transition chamber after performing the processing step on the wafer load lock (column 10, lines 9-22).

Regarding claims 8, 19, 21, 22, 35, 46, 48-49 and 52, White discloses transferring the wafer from the second transition chamber after the processing step to another processing chamber and performing another processing step on the wafer, and then transferring the wafer into the second load lock (Fig. 4).

Regarding claims 17, 18, 20, 44, 45 and 47, White discloses the wafer is transferred by a first and second robot into the first transition chamber which is an isolated chamber (Fig. 9); and after the wafer in the first transition chamber, the wafer is transferred from the first transition chamber by a first and second robot into the processing chamber (Fig. 10 and Fig. 11).

Regarding claims 24, 25 and 50-54, White after partially preheating the wafer in the first load lock, the wafer is transferred by a first and second robot into the first transition chamber which is an un-isolated chamber containing a lamp (Fig. 5, lines 1-12); and after partially preheating the wafer in the first transition chamber, the wafer is transferred from the first transition chamber by a first and second robot into the processing chamber (Fig. 6).

Regarding claims 11-13, 15-16, 38-40 and 42-43, White discloses the transition chamber each comprises two wafer holders (20a and 20B) and cooling plate (elements 96 and 98) and the transition chamber heating element comprises a lamp and resistive heater (column 5, lines 5-12).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 8/30/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding claims 1-64, Applicant argues that White or Stevens do not show or describe a transition chamber comprising a heating and cooling element, two or more transition chambers which separate first and second chambers, a transition chamber comprising two wafer holders and partially preheating a wafer in a transition chamber. However, Examiner disagrees because White discloses more than one transition chambers (Fig. 3; i.e., chamber isolation valves 28-34), these isolations do the same function of applicant's transition chamber since they are not only valve but also they are chamber isolations (Fig. 1), and White's isolation chamber comprising heating cooling elements (column 3, 20-39; i.e., such heating and cooling of the respective input

and output chambers can increase the throughput of the system); two or more transition (isolation) chambers (28-34) which separate first and second chamber (Fig. 1, i.e., element 30 and 32 which are isolation chamber separate chamber 10A and chamber 10B). White also discloses a transition chamber comprising two wafer holders (20A and 20B) and partially preheating a wafer in a transition (column 3, lines 20-27).

Please Note the isolation valve is a part of isolation chamber (column 6, lines 50-52; i.e., the camber isolation valves).

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Kidest Bahta, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3737. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 5:30 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. EST. If attempts to reach the examiner by phone fail, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard, can be reached (571) 272-3749. Additionally, the fax phone for Art Unit 2125 is (703) 308-6306 or 308-6296. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist at (703) 305-9600.

Kidest Bahta

December 9, 2004